

APPLICATION FOR PATENT

TITLE: ANTIBACTERIAL TOOTHPASTE AND MOUTHWASH FORMULATIONS

INVENTOR: JOE S. WILKINS, JR.

[001] This application claims the benefit of the filing of co-pending U.S. provisional application serial number 60/394,333 filed July 8, 2002, which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION:

[002] Limonene is a monocyclic monoterpene commonly found in the form of its d-isomer. d-limonene is one of the most common terpenes in nature, occurring in citrus and a wide variety of other plant species.

[003] The present invention is directed to toothpaste and mouthwash formulations. In particular, the formulations comprise, in part, limonene as an active ingredient in killing or inhibiting the growth a variety of bacterial pathogens known to cause a number of infectious diseases in humans and animals. Specifically, *in vitro* analyses revealed that d-limonene is effective in eradicating the following major gram-positive pathogens: *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (both methicillin sensitive and resistant), *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Streptococcus mutans*, and other beta hemolytic streptococci, *Enterococcus faecalis*, and *Enterococcus faecium* (both vancomycin sensitive and resistant). *In vitro* tests further revealed that d-limonene is effective in eradicating the following gram-negative pathogens: *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Acinetobacter baumannii/haemolyticus*, *Paenibacillus polymyxa*, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *In vitro* tests also revealed that d-limonene is effective in eradicating various *Bacillus* species, such as *Bacillus licheniformis*, *B. sphraericus*, *Bacillus cereus*, and *Bacillus subtilis*, including the species strain of anthrax (*Bacillus anthracis* – Stearns and Ames strains. The microbial assay methodology, and results, are described in Example 1 and Tables 1-2.

[004] In view of the *in vitro* anti-microbial activity of d-limonene, the present invention is directed to formulations and methods of using these formulations for treating a variety

of systemic and local bacterial infections in humans and animals, wherein an effective amount of d-limonene, preferably incorporated with one or more base components in a formulation, and then applied as a toothpaste or mouthwash to the human or animal. In particular, for localized infections within the mouth and throat (or for the prophylactic treatment thereof), the d-limonene may be formulated in a mouthwash that may be used as a rinse or a swab, for example. The d-limonene may also be formulated in a toothpaste, using excipients (i.e. base components) commonly employed in tooth paste formulations. If desired to aid in strengthening the teeth, calcium and/or magnesium compounds may be employed in these formulations, as well.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[005] The present invention is directed to tooth paste formulations and mouthwash formulations for killing or inhibiting the growth a variety of bacterial pathogens known to cause a number of infectious diseases in humans and animals. As used herein, the term “animal” shall include humans as well as non-human animals, namely mammals and reptiles. Specifically, the present invention is directed to toothpaste and mouthwash formulations comprising limonene for use in killing or inhibiting the growth of common dental pathogens that are known to cause tooth decay and periodontal disease, such as *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Bacteroides* species, *Actinobacillus action mycetemcomitons*, *Prevotella intermedia*, *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, *Bacteroides forsythus* and other species, *Campylobacter rectus*, *Eikenella corrodens*, *Peptostreptoloccus micros*, *Selenomonas sp.*, *Eubacterium sp.*, *Streptococcus intermedius*, spirochetes *Treponema denticola*, and *Treponema pallidum* and syphilis. The inventive formulations are also useful in killing or inhibiting the growth of other pathogens that have been shown to colonize in the mouth and cause various systemic diseases, such as bacterial endocarditis and arthritis, or example.

[006] The inventive toothpaste formulation has not only been shown to be effective in treating bleeding gums and receding gum lines, but it is effective in minimizing plaque buildup on the teeth to not only whiten the teeth, but minimize tooth decay.

[007] In certain embodiments, the formulations comprise at least one base component and an active ingredient comprising limonene, preferably, a highly purified limonene (i.e.

98% and greater purity, more preferably about 98.5% to 99% purity). A preferred concentration range of limonene in the toothpaste formulations is from about 10% to about 40 %. The d-limonene may be purified by known distillation techniques, such as that described in U.S. Pat. No. 6,420,435, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

[008] The one or more base components employed in the tooth paste formulation include those typically found in conventional toothpastes, and thus the amounts and types of such base components are known by those of ordinary skill in the art. Exemplary base components include, but are not limited to, (a) sorbitol, a polyol which functions as a humectant/sweetener; (b) water, which functions as a diluent; (c) silica (e.g. ZEODENT, vended by Huber Corp.), which functions as an abrasive to help remove particles from the teeth; (d) glycerin, which also serves as a humectant; (e) surfactants, such as sodium lauryl sulfate or Polysorbate 20, for example; (f) binders and viscosity agents, such as CEKOL cellulose gum, xanthan gum; and (g) preservatives, such as sodium benzoate and methyl parabens, for example. Flavoring and coloring agents (or whitening agents, like titanium dioxide) may be employed, as well. It will be appreciated by those of ordinary skill in the art that while the identified base components may indeed be employed in the present invention, other base components commonly employed in toothpaste formulations, now known or later discovered, may be used without departing from the scope and spirit of the present invention.

[009] A preferred toothpaste formulation comprises from about 10% to about 40 % d-limonene (98.0% or higher purity, more preferably 98.5% - 99.0%); from about 15 % to about 35 % of sorbitol; from about 15% to about 30 % of a silica agent (e.g. ZEODENT 113 and ZEODENT 165), from about 10% to about 20 % water; from about 5% to about 15 % glycerin, from about 2% to about 7 % of surfactant (e.g. Polysorbate 20), from about 1% to about 2 % flavoring agent (including sodium saccharin), from about 0.5% to about 1.5 % of titanium dioxide, from about 0.5% to about 1.5% of binder (e.g. CEKOL 2000 gum), from about 0.05% to about 0.15 % of a preservative (e.g. sodium benzoate), from about 0.25% to about 1.75 % of pure calcium, and from about 0.10% to about 1.75 % of magnesium phosphate.

[010] The toothpaste formulation is particularly effective in improving receding and bleeding gum lines, which are typically caused by plaque and gingivitis as well as reducing dental decay.

[011] Preferably, the toothpaste formulation further comprises a pharmaceutically acceptable calcium compound, preferably pure calcium and/or a pharmaceutically acceptable magnesium compound, such as magnesium phosphate, for promoting stronger teeth. Preferable tooth paste formulations comprise from about 18% to about 22% percent limonene. Preferable percentage amounts of calcium range from about 1.25% to about 1.50%. Preferable percentage amounts of magnesium phosphate range from about 1.25% to about 1.50%.

[012] Preferred formulations for the inventive mouthwash effective in treating bacterial infections in the mouth (or inhibiting the growth of bacteria responsible for such infections) include an active ingredient comprising limonene, preferably a highly purified form of limonene (i.e 98.0% or greater purity, more preferably 98.5% to 99.0%) and one or more base components commonly employed in mouthwash formulations. Exemplary base components include (a) sorbitol; (b) polyethylene glycol (e.g. PEG 6) as a carrier and surfactant; (c) polysorbate (surfactant); (d) water (diluent); and (e) flavoring agents (e.g. sucralose). A preferred formulation comprises (a) from about 15% to about 25 % of sorbitol, (b) from about 10% to about 20 % of polyethylene glycol, (c) from about 2.5% to about 7.5% Polysorbate 20, (d) from about 2.5 % to about 15% d-limonene, (e) from about 45% to about 65% water, (f) from about 0.2 % to about 0.5 % sucralose, and about 1.0% to 2.0% Belwood Wintergreen.

Administration of the inventive mouthwash is similar to conventional mouthwashes (i.e. about 30 ml placed within the mouth and swished about therein for about 30 seconds prior to expectoration); however, the administrated dose and time within the mouth may be varied as desired.

[013] Notwithstanding the preferred toothpaste and mouthwash formulations described above, it is important to note that the d-limonene oil alone may be applied directly to the teeth or swabbed within the mouth, for example, for the purpose of killing or inhibiting the growth bacteria therein, although only small amounts of d-limonene should be used to prevent mucosal irritation that will result at higher amounts. It is also within the scope of

the present invention to incorporate small amounts of pure d-limonene oil (e.g. about 0.1 ml) within a chewing gum base. Upon chewing of the gum, the d-limonene is released from the gum base and dispersed within the oral cavity and onto the teeth.

Example 1

[014] Clinical isolates (10^5 bacteria/ml) (about 100 μ l) of gram-positive pathogens (*Staphylococcus aureus* and *epidermidis* (both methicillin-sensitive and resistant) plus *Enterococcus faecalis* and *faecium*) along with a group of gram-negative pathogens (*Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Serratia marcescens* coupled with opportunistic pathogens *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Acinetobacter baumannii/haemolyticus* and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*) were each inoculated into 2 ml of d-limonene, in accordance with the standard phenol-coefficient assay and other screening methodology for plant antimicrobial activity and incubated for 72 hrs. A 2ml broth media was used as a positive control. The d-limonene used was purified to at least 98.5% via a distillation process. The product was purified and examined for purity via HPLC.

[015] Aliquots were subsequently cultured at 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours to determine the antimicrobial effect. Appropriate media was inoculated in accordance with NCCLS standards. Blood agar was used for the gram-positive organisms, while McConkey Agar was utilized for the gram-negative organisms. ATCC strains of *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *E. coli* were used as controls organisms and compared to the clinical isolates of these pathogens.

[016] The results of the assay are shown in Table 1 (gram-positive organisms) and Table 2 (gram-negative organisms), wherein all of the pathogens tested were shown to be effectively eradicated within 24 hours.

[017] Cultures were held 72 hours to ascertain if a resistant genetic code might have been facilitated. The response to subculture at 72 hours yielded no-growth, thus clearly indicating that no muta-genic or plasmid transposon was noted.

Table 1. Antibacterial effects of d-limonene on gram-positive organisms

Organism	Concentrations CFU/ml	Growth at		
		24hr	48hr	72hr
<i>S. aureus</i>	>10 ⁵	NG*	NG	NG
<i>S. epidermidis</i>	>10 ⁵	NG	NG	NG
<i>E. faecalis</i>	>10 ⁵	NG	NG	NG
<i>E. faecium</i>	>10 ⁵	NG	NG	NG

*NG = no growth

Table 2. Antibacterial effects of d-limonene on gram-negative organisms

Organism	Concentrations CFU/ml	Growth at		
		24hr	48hr	72hr
<i>E. coli</i>	>10 ⁵	NG	NG	NG
<i>Ent. cloacae</i>	>10 ⁵	NG	NG	NG
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	>10 ⁵	NG	NG	NG
<i>S. marcescens</i>	>10 ⁵	NG*	NG	NG
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	>10 ⁵	NG	NG	NG
<i>Ac. baum/haemo</i>	>10 ⁵	NG	NG	NG
<i>S. maltophilia</i>	>10 ⁵	NG	NG	NG

*NG = no growth

Example 2

[018] A toothpaste formulation was manufactured by combining the following components:

- 25.00 % polyol (sorbitol)
- 20.00 % Zeodent 113 (silica abasive)
- 20.000 d-limonene (at least 98.5% purity)
- 13.39% water
- 10.00% Glycerin Natural Kosher
- 5.00% Polysorbate 20
- 2.70% Zeodent 165 (silica abrasive)
- 1.00% Flavor 484 (Walmart brand)

1.00% titanium dioxide
1.00% CMC 9M31XF/Cekol 2000 (binder gum)
0.45% pure calcium
0.25% saccharin
0.11% magnesium phosphate
0.10% sodium benzoate

[019] The foregoing components were combined as follows: the sodium saccharin and sodium benzoate were dissolved in the water and set aside. The Cekol and glycerin were combined, and, while mixing these two components together, the polyol was added. The solution of sodium saccharin and sodium benzoate were then added to the Cekol/glycerin, and polyol mixture. Next, Zeodent 165 was added to the mixture and blended in well, followed by the Zeodent 113, which in turn was blended in well until the mixture was free of lumps. Titanium dioxide, Polysorbate 20, and d-limonene were combined with the mixture and blended until the mixture was smooth. Finally, the calcium and magnesium phosphate was added, followed by the flavoring agent (i.e. Flavor 484).

Example 3

[020] A toothpaste formulation was manufactured by combining the following components:

25.00 % polyol (sorbitol)
18.00 % Zeodent 113 (silica abasive)
20.000 d-limonene (at least 98.5% purity)
13.39% water
10.00% Glycerin Natural Kosher
5.00% Polysorbate 20
2.26% Zeodent 165 (silica abrasive)
1.00% Flavor 484 (Walmart brand)
1.00% titanium dioxide
1.00% CMC 9M31XF/Cekol 2000 (binder gum)
1.50% pure calcium

0.25% saccharin
1.50% magnesium phosphate
0.10% sodium benzoate

[021] The foregoing components were combined as described above in Example 2.

Example 4

[022] The Stearns and Ames strain of *Bacillus anthracis* were subjected to a battery of standard topical anti-bacterials, nutraceuticals, and herbals, including SILVADENE (generic silver sulfadiazine, vended by Hoescht Marion Roussel, now Par); SILVADENE with nystatin 0.025%; mafenide acetate, FURACIN (generic nitrofurazone, vended by Roberts), bacitracin with Polymyxin B (Poly B), silver nitrate, sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), grapefruit seed extract (GSE), oleander extract with Aloe vera (Biotonics, San Antonio, Texas), and a new anti-infective solution called FX (Sterifx, Inc, Shreveport, Louisiana). Both *B. anthracis* strains were tested by Nathans Agar Well Diffusion Technique.

[023] Results: The Stearns strain of *B. anthracis* was susceptible to all products tested except Bacitracin, Poly B and NaOCl. The most effective among the standard topicals was Bactroban[®] with an average inhibition zone of 45mm, followed by mafenide acetate at 38mm. Furacin was 33mm with Silvadene at 19mm. Both Silvadene with Nystatin and AgNO₃ zones of inhibition were 18mm. The nutraceuticals GSE and d-limonene had zones of inhibition of 25mm and 30mm, respectively, whereas the Oleander with Aloe vera had a zone size of 20mm. The Fx product at 1X had no zone of inhibition while the 4X and 12X zones were 25mm and 32 mm, respectively. The zones of inhibition for the more lethal and pathogenic Ames strain were comparable to those of the Stearns strain for the standard anti-infectives, nutraceuticals (i.e. GSE and d-limonene) and herbal products. Again, mafenide acetate and Bactroban[®] were at the top of the susceptibility list at 34mm vs 35mm, respectively as was the Fx 4X and 12X both at 35mm and 46mm, respectively. GSE and Fx product 1X zones of inhibition were both at 23mm. d-limonene's zone of inhibition as at 21 mm. SILVADENE was at 18mm while Nystatin/SILVADENE was 14mm. AgNO₃ zones of inhibition was at 16mm as was the

Oleander Aloe vera product. Bacitracin, Polymyxin B and NaOCl were ineffective showing no zones of inhibition. Both strains of *B. anthracis* were susceptible to the standard topical antimicrobials. Bactroban[®], mafenide acetate and Silvadene[®]. The commercial Fx product was very effective at 4X and 12X concentrations. The majority of products tested inhibited the growth of both strains of *B. anthracis*.

Example 5

[024] Methods: Six strains of *Bacillus* species were tested using the Nathans Agar Well Diffusion technique in 3 replicate assays. The strain included ATCC strains of *Paenibacillus polymyxa*, *Bacillus licheniformis*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Bacillus sphaericus*, *Bacillus cereus* and a wild *Bacillus* strain from a burn patient. The anti-infectives tested were Silvadene[®], Mafenide Acetate, Furacin, Bactroban[®], Bacitracin plus Polymyxin B, Silvadene[®] with Nystatin, 0.025% NaOCl, AgNO₃, Grapefruit Seed Extract (GSE), d-limonene, Oleander extract with Aloe vera and various concentrations of a new anti-infective solution.

[025] Results: All anti-infectives tested were effective against all strains of *Bacillus* except Bacitracin with Polymyxin B where none of the strains were inhibited and NaOCl were only inhibited *P. polymyxa*, *B. sphaericus* and *B. cereus* with an average zone size of 16mm. Bactroban[®]'s average zone of inhibition was 46mm followed by mafenide acetate at 36mm. Furacin was 35mm, Silvadene was 26mm, followed by GSE at 25mm. Silvadene[®] with Nystatin was 24mm, while Fx 1X was only effective against *B. subtilis*, *B. sphaericus* and *P. polymyxa* at 22mm. Fx5x and Fx10x inhibited all *Bacillus* strains tested with an average zone size of 32mm and 49mm respectively. The Oleander extract was 18mm while d-limonene zones were 21 mm and AgNO₃ was 16mm.

[026] Conclusions: The standard topicals used in soft tissue wound infections could effectively eradicate cutaneous *B. anthracis* as would the nutraceuticals (i.e. d-limonene) and herbals tested. Moreover, the herbals and nutraeuticals could be employed effectively as aerosols in the case of inhalation anthrax, and thus, could effectively be used as therapeutic alternatives for *B. anthracis* infections.

Example 6

[027] 0.25 to 0.50 grams of each of three different toothpaste formulations (labeled C, D, and P) was applied to clinical isolates (10^5 bacteria/ml) of gram-positive pathogens *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus faecalis* and *faecium* as well as gram-negative pathogens *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in accordance with the standard phenol-coefficient assay and other screening methodology for plant antimicrobial activity and incubated for 72 hrs. A 2ml broth media was used as a positive control. The d-limonene used was purified to at least 98.5% via a distillation process. The product was purified and examined for purity via HPLC.

[028] Formulation C comprised the toothpaste formulation described herein in Example 2.

[029] Formulation D comprised at least 98% pure d-limonene (20 %) and the remaining ingredients for Formulation C except for the calcium and magnesium (the remaining 0.5% being made up as water).

[030] Formulation P was a placebo formulation, comprising (a) 31.175% sorbitol; (b) 25.0% ZEODENT 113; (c) 17.44% water; (d) 12.5% glycerine natural kosher; (e) 6.25% Polysorbate 20; (f) 3.38% ZEODENT 165; (g) 1.25% flavoring 484 (Walmart brand); 1.25% titanium dioxide; (h) 1.25% CEKOL 2000; (j) 0.313% sodium saccharin; and (k) 0.125% sodium benzoate.

[031] Aliquots were subsequently cultured at 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours to determine the antimicrobial effect. Appropriate media was inoculated in accordance with NCCLS standards. Blood agar was used for the gram-positive organisms, while MacConkey Agar was utilized for the gram-negative organisms.

[032] The results of the assay are shown in Table 2, wherein all of the pathogens tested were shown to be effectively eradicated within 24 hours.

Table 3

	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>E. faecalis</i>	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	<i>S. aureus</i>
Formulation C zone size	9 mm	14 mm	34 mm	12 mm
Formulation D zone size	14 mm	17 mm	28 mm	22 mm
Formulation P zone size	0 mm	0 mm	36 mm	10 mm

Example 7

[033] A mouthwash formulation was manufactured by combining the following components:

Polyol	20.0 %
PEG 6/Ultra PEG 300	15.0%
Polysorbate 20	5.0%
d-limonene	5.0%
Water	52.7%
Sucralose	0.30%
Belwood Wintergreen	2.0%

Example 8

[034] A 3 week , double blind , clinical study was conducted to compare the effects on Chronic Periodontal Disease of the inventive toothpaste formulation described in Example 2 with a placebo dentifrice (i.e. without d-limonene or any other active ingredients). Male and female subjects received a scale and root plane (S/RP) and a through periodontal screening. The periodontal probing pocket depth (PD), bleeding on probing (BOP), plaque accumulation (PI), and gingival status (GI) were all measured at baseline and at 3 weeks. No professional hygiene was delivered during this study period. Mean plaque scores decreased between baseline and 3 weeks. Mean gingival scores decreased, and periodontal depth (PD) decreased slightly, but most significantly was the bleeding on probing score (BOP). It was concluded that d-limonene as an additive in a

fluoride-containing dentifrice exhibited distinctive plaque inhibitors effects and decreased bleeding on probing in chronic periodontal patients.

[035] Male and female adult subjects with a baseline Quigley-Hein Plaque Index scores of 1.5 or greater were entered into the study. All subjects received a soft-bristled toothbrush for home use and were instructed to brush their teeth twice daily (morning and evening) for 2 minutes at each tooth brushing. At the end of the 3 weeks use of their assigned dentifrice, the subjects had their teeth evaluated for plaque formulation. The results indicated that the group assigned to the d-Limonene dentifrice had less plaque formulation than the group assigned to the placebo dentifrice. All reductions in plaque formation were statistically significant at the 97% level of confidence or greater. The effect was more pronounced on the teeth that had heaviest plaque formation. These findings would appear to warrant further investigation into the potential value of the paste containing d-Limonene in inhibiting both plaque and bleeding scores in periodontal patients.